Prevalence of dengue virus, their infection, diagnosis, and challenges
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ABSTRACT

The dengue disease ranges from undifferentiated fever to severe dengue hemorrhagic fever (DHF) and dengue shock syndrome (DSS). The DHF and DSS are the major complications of severe dengue manifestation. The dengue disease is caused by four very similar but serologically distinct dengue virus serotypes (DENV1-4). Although the precise mechanism by which DHF and DSS develop remains undefined, three principal theories, the antibody-dependent enhancement theory, the virulent virus theory, and the T-lymphocyte activation theory, have been recognized predominantly as the major contributors to dengue severity and fatality. Moreover, very little is known on the high frequency of recurrent DENV infections, resurgence of previous serotype with a new one, and presence of concurrent multiple DENV infections in dengue-endemic countries. Furthermore, despite having very similar sequences and structures, all four DENV serotypes might be intrinsically very much different resulting differential immunogenicity (very low to high), and mysterious sero-cross-reacting anti-DENV antibodies restricting the human efforts understanding the dengue disease etiology precisely. The DENV is a single-stranded positive-sense RNA virus that encodes three structural and seven non-structural proteins. Among the 10 proteins the envelope protein constitutes the outermost surface structure of DENVs and is composed of three domains, domain 1 (ED1), domain 2 (ED2), and domain 3 (ED3). The ED3 has been reported to be the major immunogenic domain conferring DENV serospecificity and is involved in host-virus interaction, and antibodies against ED3 could block DENVs under in vitro and in vivo conditions. Therefore, the ED3s of DENV1-4 may be of interest for better understanding of dengue and would be a promising dengue vaccine candidate against all DENV serotypes.

1. INTRODUCTION

Dengue is a mosquito-borne viral disease in tropical and subtropical countries worldwide. Dengue disease is caused by four structurally similar but serologically distinct dengue virus serotypes (DENV1-4) [1-3]. Humans are the only known hosts of DENVs and the dengue disease spectra range from undifferentiated fever to severe hemorrhagic fever. Although the vast majority of people infected with DENVs remain asymptomatic, dengue is preliminary characterized by typical dengue fever, and in severe cases, DENV infection may result dengue hemorrhagic fever (DHF) and dengue shock syndrome (DSS) [4]. The severe dengue, DHF, and DSS include leakage of vascular fluids, hemorrhagic manifestation, and shock accompanied by thrombocytopenia and abundant complement activation [5], and under such conditions, the patients need immediate hospitalization.

The RNA genome of DENVs encodes only 10 proteins: The capsid protein (C-protein), pre-membrane protein (prM-protein), envelope protein (E-protein), and seven non-structural proteins (NS-protein) [6].

The E-protein is an external outer layer of mature DENVs and is composed of three domains, domain 1 (ED1), domain 2 (ED2), and domain 3 (ED3) [7]. Among the three domains, the ED3 is the major immunogenic domain and most likely to be involved DENV serotype differentiation [8-10]. Moreover, despite being very similar in sequences and structures among the four serotypes, the ED3 alone could define DENV serospecificity [11]. Therefore, the ED3 may be of interest for detail understanding of DENVs and may have far impact on future dengue disease management.

Although numerous attempts have been made to explore the DENV-specific residue determinants at atomic level, the identification of the DENV serotype-specific residue determinants still remains elusive. This is because of huge variations in sequences, dengue pathogenesis, generation of neutralizing and sub-neutralizing anti-DENV antibodies in natural DENV infection, and immunogenicity of different DENV serotypes in different geographic locations [12]. In addition, the dengue research community is still lacking any model system for investigation of DENV infection and its management in details. Therefore, development of a model system is of worth interest to explore DENV-serospecificity. As well as, dengue management can be aided through formulating a tetravalent ED3-based vaccine candidate in future [13]. Therefore, this review article deals with much information on dengue infection, DENV seroprevalence, and dengue...
severity, mainly, focusing on DHF and DSS. We also focused on dengue diagnosis using traditional methods, challenges in dengue diagnosis and dengue disease management through formulating, and ED3-based dengue vaccine through rational consideration of differences in the immunogenicity against four ED3s and antigen interference of four ED3s in a multivalent ED3 formulation.

2. DENGUE DISEASE AND DENGUE VIRUS SEROTYPE

Dengue, a mosquito-borne viral disease caused by structurally similar but antigenically distinct four dengue virus serotypes (DENV1–4), is one of the world’s emerging viral disease threats [1-2]. Despite numerous attempts over the past three decades, the “dengue” is still a mystery [14]; the world is still lacking any dengue-specific treatment and/or vaccine [13]. This is perhaps originated from the inherent sequences of very similar but distinct four DENV serotypes [15]. The typical clinical signs and symptoms of dengue disease include undifferentiated high fever, vomiting, musculoskeletal pain, myalgia, re-o-pain, rash, nausea, and headache that were observed in almost all cases of DENV infections [16] [Table 1]. A detailed analysis indicated that DENV1 and DENV2 result very similar patterns of clinical symptoms which were quite different from those caused by DENV3 and DENV4, for example, ache-pain and diarrhea were absent in DENV3 and DENV4 infections, respectively [16]. However, the number of samples analyzed in each category was very limited, therefore, vast number samples from different geolocations are worth considering for developing rationale for infecting DENV serotypes with clinical signs and symptoms of dengue infection.

There are several controversies over the association of infecting DENV serotype and dengue disease severity. For example, the DENV2 was found as the most prevalent serotype with the maximum severity in Northwest India [17], but in Tamil Nadu, DENV2 and DENV4 constituted 20.6% and 20.0%, respectively, of total severe dengue cases [17]. Furthermore, all four DENV serotypes were present in the dengue history (1956–2017) in Tamil Nadu, India [18]. The phylogenetic analysis of DENV isolates collected from several dengue endemic regions concluded that both the Asian and American/African DENV1 genotype co-circulated in Madurai, Tamil Nadu, the DENV2 might be established as a cosmopolitan genotype and the DENV3 genotypes were Asian strains than Indian strains [18]. On the other hand, the DENV4 has been the most dominant serotype since 1968 in Tamil Nadu with a shift in Clade C to D due to genetic distinction [18]. Interestingly, in the past, DENV3 was the most prevalent serotype in Bangladesh [19], Cameroon [20], and India [21], however, the 2016–2019 dengue outbreaks in Bangladesh had DENV4 as the foremost prevalent serotype, followed by DENV2, DENV1, and DENV3 serotypes [16]. Although any explanation for such DENV serotype replacement is yet to be available, such DENV serotype variation in recurrent dengue outbreaks might have impact on dengue incidence and dengue disease severity [22]. Moreover, not only the sero-conversion but also concurrent multiple DENV infections have been reported in many dengue endemic countries worldwide [23-27]. For example, 2018–2019 dengue outbreak in Chittagong, Bangladesh, had 24% concurrently multiple DENV infections (concurrently infected with more than 1 DENV serotype) [16]. Altogether, these observations clearly suggested that DENV serotyping based on clinical signs and symptoms and establishing association of infecting DENV serotypes and dengue severity are seemed more difficult that previously though [16].

The mosquito Aedes aegypti carrying dengue virus was originally found in tropical and subtropical zones, however, currently has spread to the majority of the continents [27]. However, disease severity varied a lot in different geolocations [28], very little is known about the association serotype and disease severity and the reasons for different disease severity, even against the same serotype in different location and in the same location but in different dengue endemics [28]. One possible origin could be random mutations in the DENV viral RNA genome over time in dengue endemic regions. Being a RNA virus, the RNA-dependent RNA polymerase makes errors during replication and generates sequence variation in DENV genome, and consequently, dengue viruses exist as population of genetic variants, also called “quasispecies” [29,30]. Furthermore, successive mutations throughout the DENV genome may arise from random mutations during the viral cycle, in part due to experimental deficits and, consequently, an environment prone to the error of the RNA polymerase [31].

3. DENV INFECTION

DENV infection results various degrees of disease spectra and symptoms depending on age and repeated/recurrent DENV encounter. For example, children <15 years of age are very vulnerable with high fatality/mortality following DENV infections [32], however, disease severity decreased with ages with almost complete DENV clearance within 5 days of infection [33,34]. However, shock or death may occur due to progress of the disease beyond the acute febrile stage to a plasma leakage stage [35] along with leukopenia and thrombocytopenia [36,37] in elderly people as well [38,39]. However, recent DENV outbreaks showed that dengue infection has been becoming a serious threat to older people as well as observed in Thailand and China [38,39].

In the past two decades, DENVs have been spreading worldwide very aggressively both as single serotype and concurrent multiple DENV infections. For example, in the 80s, DENV1 and DENV4 were mostly restricted in North Brazil [40]. However, DENV1 soon prevailed

Table 1: The association of infecting DENV serotypes with clinical signs and symptoms. Subjects included were hospitalized dengue cases in Chittagong, Bangladesh. The table is reproduced from Roy et al., 2022 [16].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Serotype (number, n)</th>
<th>Fever (%)</th>
<th>Vomiting (%)</th>
<th>M-pain (%)</th>
<th>Acne and Pain (%)</th>
<th>Myalgia (%)</th>
<th>Re-o-pain (%)</th>
<th>Rash (%)</th>
<th>Diarrhea (%)</th>
<th>Nausea (%)</th>
<th>Headache (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DENV1 (n=7)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DENV2 (n=8)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DENV3 (n=4)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DENV4 (n=13)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DENV1,4 (n=4)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>66.66</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DENV1,3 (n=4)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DENV1,3,4 (n=1)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
nationwide, and in 2009, the DENV1 again re-emerged inflicting an extensive epidemic in almost all areas of Brazil [41]. All four DENV serotypes cocirculated during 2000–2009, however, DENV2 was the most prevalent DENV type in Bangladesh followed by DENV1 and DENV3 during the same in 2000–2009 dengue outbreaks [42]. Interestingly, the DENV2 serotype was closely related to those reported from Southeast Asia. These observations suggested that DENVs in Bangladesh have increased in genotypic range and DENV genotypic mutations found in various Asian countries were also present in Bangladesh. In addition, the current spread of DENV4 originated in Southeast Asia [43], spread to the Indian subcontinent. However, there was no uniform distribution pattern among the genotypes, but a significant risk factor was for dengue viruses found in Vietnam [44]. Dengue viruses have spread unexpectedly internationally in the past few decades, after the outbreak of dengue virus in many countries including Europe and the USA [44]. It was also observed that cocirculation of DENV serotypes increases the risk of concurrent infections [45].

Although the reasons for such increase in dengue incidence and dengue disease severity are mostly unknown, the recurrent single serotype, concurrent multiple serotype infections may be worsening the dengue threats worldwide, in addition to being contributed from climate change (global warming) [46,47], frequent air transportation, increased population density [47,48], extreme poverty, and inadequate sanitation [49].

4. SEVERE DENGUE MANIFESTATION: DHF AND DSS

A primary single DENV serotype infection may be self-limiting. This is because the natural anti-DENV antibody developed against the infecting DENV serotypes may neutralize the specific DENV serotype following concurrent secondary infection [4]. On the other hand, a secondary subsequent heterotypic and/or concurrent multiple DENV infection might elicit severe syndrome [5,23] through antibody-dependent enhancement (ADE) being promoted by the primary sub-neutralizing anti-dengue antibody developed during primary DENV infection [42,50]. Although the precise mechanism by which DHF and DSS develop remains undefined, three principal theories have been postulated predominantly as the major contributors to the pathogenesis of DHF and DSS. These theories include the ADE theory, the virulent virus theory, and the T-lymphocyte activation theory [51]. However, these theories altogether cannot fully explain the complex dengue disease etiology in details. Moreover, the residues responsible for DENV-specific (serotype-specific) and DENV-cross-reactive (sero-cross-specificity) recognition of anti-DENV antibodies still remain elusive. This is because of huge variations in sequences, severity, and immunogenicity of four DENV serotypes in different geographic locations [52,53]. In addition, different studies focused on different targets and reported different residues as DENV-serospecificity determinants [54,55]. The risk of developing severe dengue can be increased due to subsequent infection (secondary heterologous infection) by another serotype [Figure 1] [56-58].

5. DENGUE DIAGNOSIS

The precise diagnosis of a disease is the key to start treatment. As stated in the previous section based on clinical signs and symptoms, diagnosis of dengue is far more complicated than previously thought as the dengue disease spectra overlap with other febrile diseases [16]. Moreover, over 50% of DENV-infected patients may remain asymptomatic [60]. Therefore, determination of the virus, virus components, and anti-DENV antibodies are inevitable for confirmation of DENV infections. However, DENV diagnosis is in a state of dependence and general dengue diagnostic tools suffer from a very bad prediction. For example, many laboratory-based dengue diagnostic methods used for detecting dengue virus, viral RNA [61], viral antigens [62], and anti-dengue antibodies [63] have been reported which require specialized laboratory setup. The NS1-based diagnosis and MAC-ELISA are also quite commonly used [64]. However, the NS1 is very short-lived in infected human blood and it suffers from high false-negative prediction [64,65]. To some extent, some other routine tests, namely, full blood cell count, coagulation tests, routine biochemical, and lipid profile, are also prescribed. However, all these tests are far from being absolute confirmatory [66]. For example, it has been reported that anti-DENV IgM antibodies may be directly related to primary dengue infection and dengue disease severity [67]. However, only about 22% and 9% of patients with anti-DENV IgM and anti-DENV IgG antibodies, respectively, had adverse effects and asymptomatic DENV infection. Similarly, moreover, reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction is considered as gold standard in DENV serotyping for confirming the presence of viral RNA in the infected sera [68]. However, RNA is very unstable [69,70], therefore, it may suffer from high false-negative prediction [71]. Therefore, quantitative and qualitative diagnoses with high accuracy indeed needed to ensure timely exploitation of dengue disease in neglected communities.

6. ED3 IN DENGUE RESEARCH AND DENGUE MANAGEMENT

The E-protein is the outer layer of mature DENVs which is composed of three domains (ED1–ED3) [7,72,73]. Despite having very similar sequences and structures [7], the ED3s of DENV1-4 could define DENV serospecificity [9,74], and monoclonal antibodies developed against ED3s alone could restrict DENV infection in vitro cell culture studies and in natural dengue infections [75,76]. Furthermore, all four ED3s could generate long-lasting immune response in mice model [77,78]. Altogether, these observations suggested that the domain ED3s of DENV1-4 may serve as a simplified model for investigating the natural immune response against DENV infection and may provide an worth considering tool for future DENV vaccine design [79]. It is quite well documented that the ED3 domain contains major determinants DENV serospecificity and sero-cross-specificity and virus-specific neutralization sites [80,81]. Interestingly, ED3s of DENVs exhibit the lowest sequence similarities with other flaviviruses cocirculating in the same endemic areas [82], and therefore, ED3s can be used as alternative to the existing DENV diagnosis tool for both qualitative and quantitative evaluations of natural dengue infection. For examples, detection of anti-DENV IgG and anti-DENV-IgM antibodies using ED3s may help differentiating acute and convalescent phases of dengue disease [83,84]. Altogether, these observations clearly suggested that artificially synthesized ED3s of DENV1-4 can be representatives of real DENVs, for both investigation of dengue infection and future dengue disease management, from diagnosis to vaccine design.

7. CHALLENGES RESTRICTING HUMAN EFFORTS AGAINST DENGUE

Dengue is still an unsolved mystery despite human efforts for over the past three decades and it is still one of the major public health threats [85]. The very first challenge is the proper understanding of the distinct antigenic determinants of DENV1-4 serotypes, each serotype being capable of causing diseases with different severity, and
It has been reported that a primary DENV infection may develop a neutralizing polyclonal antibody response against the infecting DENV serotype. However, such polyclonal anti-DENV antibody response may be sub-neutralizing making the subject susceptible to a secondary heterotypic DENV infection [91]. A primary anti-DENV IgM antibodies appear within 4–5 days of infection (onset of fever) and could sustain for up to 3 months [94]. The primary anti-DENV IgG antibodies first appear about a week after onset of fever, sustain several weeks to months, and start declining to lower level which may persist for months to years [95]. Both the structural proteins (E-protein, PrM-protein, and C-protein) and non-structural proteins (NS1, NS3, and NS5) could be the prime targets of primary anti-DENV antibodies [96,97]. However, it is not known well antibodies developed against which components of DENVs are better protective and neutralizing. Moreover, the effects of primary anti-DENV antibodies on the outcomes of secondary heterotypic DENV and concurrent multiple DENV infections are mostly unknown. Therefore, it is worth investing the effects of primary anti-DENV antibodies on secondary heterotypic DENV infections in recurrent DENV infections in dengue endemic regions and concurrent multiple DENV infections in a single dengue session [98]. A detail analysis of anti-DENV antibodies from both serospecificity and sero-cross-reactivity is inevitable for formulating future tetravalent dengue vaccine resulting neutralizing anti-DENV antibodies against all four DENV serotypes.

9. CURRENT DENGUE RESEARCH STATUS

Despite numerous attempts over the past 30 years of dengue research, the success is still at the bottleneck. This is because of the mysterious inherent immunogenicity of four different DENVs, complex sero-cross-talks of anti-DENV antibodies, differential seroprevalence, and serotype-dependent dengue severity [99]. Previous attempts to control the mosquito vector had very limited success, making vaccines the most effective choice to combat this debilitating disease [13]. Although there is no dengue vaccine currently available, many promising vaccine candidates are in mid to late stages of development [100]. There are several difficulties; however, the generation of DENV-specific vaccines is several difficulties; however, the generation of DENV-specific
antibodies neutralizing all four DENV serotypes in a single dengue vaccine formulation is the most difficult one [101]. The two most promising vaccines, namely, Dengvaxia developed by Sanofi Pasteur [102] showed controversial efficacy at different geolocations and in different dengue-endemic regions [102]. For example, it could not generate type-specific antibodies against all four DENV serotypes at similar level, rather induced a skew anti-DENV antibody response against DENV1 serotype [102,103]. On the other hand, the TV003/005 developed by the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases [118] and reported to induce high levels of anti-DENV antibodies against all four DENV serotypes, however, much details on its efficacy in different ethnic groups in different dengue-endemic regions are yet to be available [105].

The domain 3 of dengue envelop protein (ED3) has been reported to mediate host-virus interactions and monoclonal antibodies developed against ED3s could neutralize DENVs almost completely both under in vivo and in vitro conditions [76]. Therefore, the fragmented DENV-specific ED3s would be a promising dengue vaccine candidate [106]. This is because, despite being a fragmented domain of the whole envelop protein, ED3s of DENVs were immunogenic and could retain the serospecificity of DENVs [Figure 2] [8,107]. Furthermore, the recombinant ED3s could the natively folded into stable native-like structure. Altogether, the ED3s may, therefore, serve as a simple model system for dengue research [107] and, accordingly, may serve as a template for future dengue vaccine instead of using live-attenuated DENVs [108].

10. CONCLUSION

Despite extensive approaches over the past 30 years of dengue research, the world community is still lacking any dengue-specific treatment strategy and/or vaccines. The extensive literature review and discussions done in this review clearly suggested that the understanding of dengue disease etiology is still at its infancy. This is might be due to the very complex immune interference phenomenon including heterogeneous immunogenicity of DENVs, immune prevalence, immune tolerance, and mysterious cross-talks of different anti-DENV antibodies against several tetravalent dengue vaccine formulations. In addition, the generation of protective anti-DENV antibodies neutralizing all four serotypes seemed very much challenging. Furthermore, there is no model system for systematic dengue research, however, the domain 3 of dengue envelop protein (ED3) that we discussed here may serve as a very simplified model system for investigating natural anti-DENV responses against primary, secondary, secondary heterotypic, and concurrent multiple DENV infections and may, therefore, pave a way for designing an ED3-based tetravalent dengue vaccines ensuring neutralizing antibodies against all DENV serotypes in a single vaccine formulation.
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