

# Evolution of matK Gene among the Elite Tea Clones (*Camellia sinensis*) Revealed by Nucleotide Substitution within the Consensus Region

Reha Labar<sup>1</sup>, Pallab Kar<sup>1</sup>, Prosenjit Biswas<sup>2</sup>, Arnab Sen<sup>1</sup>, Malay Bhattacharya<sup>3\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Botany, University of North Bengal, Raja Rammohunpur, West Bengal, India. <sup>2</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of North Bengal, Raja Rammohunpur, West Bengal, India. <sup>3</sup>Department of Tea Science, University of North Bengal, Raja Rammohunpur, West Bengal, India

## **ARTICLE INFO**

Article history: Received on: July 17, 2020 Accepted on: October 01, 2020 Available online: January 17, 2021

*Key words*: *Camellia sinensis*, matK, Blast, Phylogenetic, Consensus

# ABSTRACT

The medicinally and economically important tea plant of India lacks a report on barcode study. Thus, we aimed to establish the DNA barcode of some elite tea clones of Darjeeling and Dooars along with the study of variation within the chloroplast region. A thorough investigation of 29 tea clones based on the matK (maturase K) gene has been carried out in our study. The laid objectives were fulfilled following DNA isolation, purification, amplification of the matK region, and sequencing. The sequences were further analyzed using BLAST analysis and phylogenetic tree construction along with the study of the aligned consensus region among all the clones. A BLAST search of NCBI revealed 24 clones to share 100% identity with *Camellia sinensis*. The remaining 5 clones showed 99.29–99.89% identity with *Camellia sinensis*. However, clones such as 11125 and 11126 showed a higher percentage of similarity, that is, 99.87% and 99.57% with other species of *Camellia* when compared, respectively, to 99.61% and 99.29% with *Camellia sinensis*. The relatedness to other *Camellia* species was also evident from the distinct cluster in the phylogenetic tree. This study reports a total of 14 variable sites within the matK region where the high consensus region revealed a total of nine variable sites and the low consensus region revealed a total of five variable sites. Therefore, this study is the first report of barcode analysis of Indian tea clones, wherein we successfully utilized the single locus matK gene to study variation within the chloroplast region and also conclude that the matK region is not 100% conserved with the same species of *Camellia*.

# **1. INTRODUCTION**

The medicinally important health beverage consumed worldwide as tea, belongs to the genus *Camellia* under the Theaceae family. The world-famous tea originated in China with five reported subspecies and two varieties among which *Camellia sinensis* L.O Kuntze is mostly cultivated worldwide to make the famous tea [1]. The predominant tea varieties cultivated worldwide are the China variety with small leaves (*Camellia sinensis* L.), large leaf Assam variety (*Camellia sinensis* var. *assamica*), and intermediate leaf Cambod varieties (*Camellia sinensis* var. *lasiocalyx*) [2].

Apart from its medicinal importance, tea is one of the most important cash crops of India. The world-famous Darjeeling tea serves its purpose for the Indian economy due to its unique flavor and aroma. Darjeeling tea gardens have established several elite tea clones. Environmental

Molecular Biology and Tissue Culture Laboratory, Department of Tea Science, University of North Bengal, Raja Rammohunpur, West Bengal, 734013, India, Email: malaytsnbu@gmail.com influences and plant age make it harder to study genetic diversity based on morphological traits unlike the molecular traits [1].

A previous study on Darjeeling tea clones reports the study of genetic diversity using a robust technique such as the RAPD, ISSR, and AFLP markers [3-5]. However, no study has been reported in the genetic diversity of Darjeeling tea clones using matK primers.

DNA barcoding, a concise method for taxonomic identification, uses a standard short sequence with ample variation to differentiate among species. Many regions from the plastid genome such as the rbcL, rpoC1, rpoB, and trnH-psbA intergenic spacer apart from the matK region have been suggested and exploited for DNA barcoding of land plants [6,7]. However, the Consortium for the Barcode of Life (CboL) has recommended rbcL and matK as standard DNA barcode for plants because of its increased variation between species and the important role it plays in the phylogenetic restoration of terrestrial plants [8,9]. The matK gene around 1500 base pairs (bp) also known as orfK is utilized in the study of molecular systematics and evolution since the matK gene, coding maturase protein, is responsible for splicing of Group II intron. It is located within the intron of the trnK gene

© 2021 Labar, *et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License -NonCommercial-ShareAlike Unported License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/).

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding Author:

Malay Bhattacharya,

whose two flanking exons were lost, thus leaving the gene intact for splicing [11]. Due to its high degree of substitution and variation than other genes, the matK gene is considered to evolve quickly [12]. The matK gene has ideal size and also a mutational conserved region along with a greater rate of substitution and low transition/transversion ratio. The sequence varies at the nucleic acid level at first and second codon positions. All of these features of matK have a profound impact on relationship study at family and species level [11].

Based on previous reports, we found that the Indian tea clones have no report on barcode analysis. Thus, the main objective of the work is to study the genetic diversity and variation within the chloroplast region of the tea clones grown in Darjeeling and Dooars. We collected 33 elite tea clones from the Darjeeling region, which is famous worldwide for tea. We have chosen matK, one of the standard DNA barcodes recommended for plants and also because of the fact of the matK gene having high substitution rates within species, which makes it ideal for our study. Therefore, we aim to perform barcode analysis of collected tea clones by fulfilling steps such as genomic DNA isolation, DNA purification, and quantification, PCR amplification of the matK region, sequencing of the amplified fragments, and sequence analysis using different tools of bioinformatics. This work highlights the importance of the utilization of a single-locus matK region to study intraspecific variation and also infers the evolution of the matK gene within the same species of Camellia.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### 2.1. Sample Selection and Collection

A total of 33 tea clones were collected for this study [Table 1]. The samples were collected from Darjeeling hills.

#### 2.2. DNA Barcoding

#### 2.2.1. DNA Isolation

DNA was isolated from a 5 g fresh leaf sample of tea clones (Camellia sinensis) using the CTAB extraction method with slight modification [13]. For the CTAB DNA extraction method, 5 g of fresh and tender leaves of *Camellia* was taken and pulverized using a mortar and pestle using liquid nitrogen. The pulverized material was quickly transferred and mixed into an Oakridge tube containing prewarmed CTAB extraction buffer. It was then incubated for 1 h at 65°C with occasional mixing in-between. An equal volume of chloroform and isoamyl alcohol (24:1) was added and gently mixed. It was then centrifuged at 6000 rpm for 10 min at room temperature. An equal volume of ice-cold isopropanol was added to the supernatant and mixed by inversion. Following incubation for 2 h at -20°C, the mixture was centrifuged at 6500 rpm for 30 min at 4°C. The supernatant was discarded and the pellet was washed thoroughly with 70% ice-cold ethyl alcohol and allowed to dry for about an hour. The pellet was dissolved in 500 µl of 1X TE buffer and to it, and an equal volume of equilibrated phenol was added and mixed properly followed by centrifugation at 13,000 rpm for 20 min. The upper aqueous layer was transferred into a fresh tube followed by the addition of an equal volume of chloroform: isoamyl alcohol (24:1) and centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 15 min at room temperature. The supernatant was again transferred to a new tube and treated with 1/10th volume of 3 M sodium acetate and double volume of ice-cold absolute ethyl alcohol. It was mixed gently and then centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 30 min at 4°C. The supernatant was discarded and the pellet was washed using 70% ethanol, air-dried, and finally dissolved in 500 µl of 1X TE buffer.

Table 1: List of tea clones chosen for study.

SI. No	Clone	Abbreviation/Alternative name
1	Ambari Vallai 2	AV2
2	Phoobsering 312	P312
3	Happy valley 39	HV39
4	Tukdah 253	T-253
5	Nanda Devi	TS 378
6	Makaibari-6	MB-6
7	Teesta Valley 1	TTV-1
8	Tukdah 383	T-383
9	Kopati 1/1	K1/1
10	B-15/263	Badamtam -15/263
11	Balasun 7/1A/76	BS 7/1A/76
12	Bunnockburn 777	B-777
13	Sundaram	B/5/63
14	Tukdah-135	T-135
15	Tocklai seed 378	TS 378 or Nanda Devi
16	Bunnockburn 688	B-688
17	Golconda	B/6/36
18	Rungli Rungiliot 17/144	RR-17/144
19	Balasun 9/3/76	BS-9/3/76
20	Chiradew Parbat1	CP-1
21	Phoobsering 1404	P-1404
22	Phoobsering 1258	P-1258
23	Rungli Rungiliot 4/5	RR-4/5
24	Sikkim 1	SKM-1
25	Thurbo 3	Thurbo-3
26	Thurbo 9	Thurbo-9
27	Tukdah 145	T-145
28	Tukdah 246	T-246
29	Tocklai variety 19	TV-19
30	Tocklai variety 14	TV-14
31	Tukdah 78	T-78
32	Bannockburn 157	B-157
33	B/5/63	Sundaram

# 2.2.2. DNA purification

RNA, protein, and polysaccharides being the main contaminants in crude DNA, hamper the isolation process and it is, therefore, very important to remove such impurities. CTAB was used to eliminate polysaccharides from DNA along with subsequent use of phenol:chloroform and RNase to further eliminate proteins and RNA to a large extent from crude DNA.

For the purification process, freshly prepared RNaseA was added into the buffered solution of DNA and incubated at 37°C for 1 h in a dry bath. An equal volume of chloroform:isoamyl alcohol (24:1) was added and mixed properly and centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 15 min at room temperature. The supernatant was transferred to another tube and 1/10<sup>th</sup> volume of 3 M sodium acetate and double volume of ice-cold absolute ethyl alcohol was added followed by centrifugation at 13,000 rpm for 30 min at 4°C. Finally, the DNA pellet was washed using ice-cold 70% ethyl alcohol and air-dried and finally dissolved in 100 µl of 1X TE buffer. Table 2: Details of matK primer.

Primer used	Tm of primer	Annealing temperature	Conc. of primer (pm/µl)	Length of primer with sequence
matK forward (F)	46	48°C	161.83	22 (CGATCTATTCATTCAATATTTC)
matK reverse (R)	53	48°C	208.38	22(TCTAGCACACGAAAGTCGAAGT)

#### 2.2.3. DNA quantification

The isolated DNA was quantified using a UV spectrophotometer (Agilent Technologies Cary 60 UV–Vis) at 260 and 280 nm filters. The samples providing the ratio of absorbance at 260 nm to absorbance at 280 nm equivalent to 1.8 was only considered of good quality.

# 2.2.4. PCR amplification and sequencing of the matK region

The matK region was amplified in a 25  $\mu$ l reaction volume comprising of 12.5  $\mu$ l of GoTaq PCR master mix, 1.25  $\mu$ l of matK-F and matK-R, 2  $\mu$ l of DNA, and 8  $\mu$ l of pyrogen-free water. The working concentrations taken for primers were 1.236  $\mu$ M (matK-F) and 0.958  $\mu$ M (matK-R), respectively. The details of the primers are given in Table 2. The PCR reactions were performed on a thermocycler (Applied Biosystems Veritti 96-well Thermal Cycle) using the following conditions: Denaturation of template DNA at 94°C for 4 min followed by 35 cycles of reactions: 94°C for 1 min, primer annealing at 48°C for 30 s, and primer extension at 72°C for 1 min with the final extension cycle at 72°C for 7 min. The success of the PCR was verified by agarose gel electrophoresis. The PCR product (5  $\mu$ l) was run in an agarose gel (1%) and visualized under UV transilluminator. DNA sequencing was done using (ABI 3730 XL) from Bioserve Biotechnologies Pvt. Ltd.

## 2.2.5. Sequence submission

The obtained sequences were edited as per the NCBI guidelines (www. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) and further compared by querying against existing global sequences in the GenBank database (https://blast.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/Blast.cgi) using Nucleotide BLAST algorithm. Blastn and blastx were performed consecutively. The sequences were then further submitted in NCBI using the BankIt submission gateway (https:// www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/WebSub/). The accessions number provided was retrieved from the database [Table 3].

## 2.3. Sequence Analysis

Complete 29 experimental sequences of *Camellia sinensis* clones were taken for final sequence analysis. A total of four sequences were excluded from the final dataset based on its short size (<600 base pairs) or sequencing error. The barcode sequences of the matK region of tea clones were further analyzed using MEGA X (Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis) software (<u>https://www.megasoftware.net/</u>).

The DNA sequences were first aligned (pairwise alignment and multiple sequence alignment) using ClustalW and an evolutionary history was inferred using clustering methods such as neighborjoining [14] and Unweighted Pair Group Mean Average method [15] with arithmetic mean (UPGMA) of MEGA X [16]. The bootstrap value was set to 1000 replicates. The phylogenetic tree drawn to scale was inferred with branch lengths in the same units as evolutionary distances. The evolutionary distances were computed using the Kimura 2- parameter method [17] with the units given as the number of base substitutions per site. The first, second, and third codon positions were included. Small sequences were not considered for analysis as well as all positions containing gaps and missing data were eliminated from the dataset. 
 Table 3: Accession number and details of the submitted matK sequence retrieved from NCBI.

Full name	Abbreviation	NCBI accession	Unique Id	Base pairs		
Ambari Vallai 2	AV2	MH649284	1111	757 bp		
Phoobsering 312	P312	MK393394	1112	871 bp		
Happy valley 39	HV39	MH791417	1113	864 bp		
Tukdah 253	T-253	MH920315	1114	876 bp		
Nanda Devi	TS 378	MH920316	1115	816 bp		
Makaibari-6	MB-6	MH920317	1116	758 bp		
Teesta Valley 1	TTV-1	MH920318	1117	861 bp		
Kopati 1/1	K1/1	MH920319	1119	774 bp		
Balasun 7/1A/76	BS 7/1A/76	MK393393	11111	833 bp		
Bunnockburn 777	B-777	MK393395	11112	757 bp		
Sundaram	B/5/63	MK393396	11113	644 bp		
Tukdah-135	T-135	MK393397	11114	763 bp		
Bunnockburn 688	B-688	MK393398	11116	833 bp		
Golconda	B/6/36	MK393399	11117	644 bp		
Rungli Rungiliot 17/144	RR-17/144	MK393400	11118	826 bp		
Balasun 9/3/76	BS-9/3/76	MK393401	11119	756 bp		
Chiradew Parbat1	CP-1	MK393402	11120	761 bp		
Phoobsering 1404	P-1404	MK393403	11121	763 bp		
Phoobsering 1258	P-1258	MK393404	11122	751 bp		
Rungli Rungiliot 4/5	RR-4/5	MK393405	11123	746 bp		
Sikkim 1	SKM-1	MK424865	11124	867 bp		
Thurbo 3	Thurbo-3	MN480321	11125	761 bp		
Thurbo 9	Thurbo-9	MN480322	11126	707 bp		
Tukdah 145	T-145	MK424866	11127	761 bp		
Tukdah 246	T-246	MK424867	11128	756 bp		
Tocklai variety 19	TV-19	MK424868	11129	750 bp		
Tocklai variety 14	TV-14	MK424869	11130	735 bp		
Tukdah 78	T-78	MK424870	11131	755 bp		
Bannockburn 157	B-157	MK424871	11132	735 bp		

Further genetic pairwise distance for matK was calculated using the Kimura 2-parameter model [17] and maximum composite likelihood model [18] as given in MEGA X. Sequences were further aligned using Multalin V.5.4.1 (http://multalin.toulouse.inra.fr/ multalin/) and analysis of both high consensus and low consensus region was done. A different number of nucleotide frequencies and position of nucleotide along the consensus region were studied using Multalin (http:// multalin.toulouse.inra.fr/multalin/) software V.5.4.1 [19]. Illustrative representation of the DNA barcode was done employing the sequences in matK QR code generator [20] and Biorad barcode generator (http:// biorad-ads.com/DNABarcodeWeb/).

# **3. RESULTS**

## 3.1. matK Amplification and Sequencing

The primer used for analysis showed successful amplification of matK [Figure 1] in all the studied clones. The size of the amplified PCR products was approximately within the range of 900 bp–1000 bp. However, the final sequencing result provided matK sequences of size ranging from 644 bp to 876 bp. The accession number for the submitted sequences and the details is provided in Table 3.

## 3.2. Blast Result

Blast analysis revealed 24 clones out of 29 to be 100% identical with *Camellia sinensis*. The percentage of similarity with *Camellia sinensis* recorded for other clones was 99.29% (Thurbo 9), 99.61% (Thurbo 3), 99.64% (RR-4/5), 99.88% (SKM-1), and 99.89% (P312). Despite showing 99.61% (Thurbo 3) and 99.29% (Thurbo 9) similarity with *Camellia sinensis*, both the clones showed a higher percentage of similarity with other species of *Camellia*, that is, Thurbo 3 showed 99.87% and Thurbo 9 showed 99.57% similarity with *Camellia mairei* (KJ197933.1). Thus, a percentage similarity value below 99.64% placed the clones under different species of *Camellia*.

#### 3.3. Sequence Alignment and Phylogenetic Tree Construction

Both neighbor-joining [Online Resource 1(SM1)] and UPGMA [Figure 2] tree revealed variation among the sequences. All the combined nucleotide sequences clustered together with exceptions such as Thurbo 3 (11125), Thurbo 9 (11126) clustering together, and P312 (1112) and RR-17/144 (11118) differing from the main group. To validate our results, we also constructed a phylogenetic tree adding a sequence of different *Camellia* species (KJ197933.1) taken from the NCBI database. Thurbo 3 (11125) and Thurbo 9 (11126) now clustered with the reference sequence of *Camellia mairei* (KJ197933.1) as depicted by the neighbor- joining [Figure 3] and UPGMA tree [Online Resource 2(SM1)]).

# 3.4. Sequence Analysis

The genetic distances for the matK sequence ranged from 0 to 0.0090 (Nucleotide: Maximum composite likelihood method) given in Figure 4 and from 0 to 0.0089 (Nucleotide: Kimura 2-parameter method) given as Online Resource 3 (SM1). The overall mean

distance was recorded as 0.0013. The results show the number of base substitutions per site and are based on an analysis of a total of 29 sequences (all codon positions included) with a total of 563 positions in the final dataset excluding the eliminated positions containing gaps and missing data.

The matK sequence showed two unique variable sites in Thurbo 3, Thurbo 9, and *Camellia mairei* that differed from the rest of the sequences. This was validated by a high consensus sequence of 563 bp prepared using Multalin software. A total of nine substitutions were observed in high consensus region where Thurbo 3 (11125) showed a total of three variable sites, Thurbo 9 (11126) showed a total of four variable sites and some single variable site was seen in P312 (1112) and RR-17/144 (11118), as shown in Figure 5 and Online Resource 4a (SM2). Study of low consensus region also revealed a total of five nucleotide substitution or variation with SKM-1 (11124) showing three variable sites, and Thurbo 9 (11126) and P1258 (11122) sowing one variable site each [Online Resource 4b (SM2)].

The sequences are represented illustratively as barcode and QR code [Figure 6 and Online Resource 5 (SM3)]. The QR code generated can be decoded as DNA sequences that make data storage and retrieval comparatively easy.

# 4. DISCUSSION

With the advancement of technology, sequencing analysis has uplifted the research in the molecular field, and thus, a small difference or rather variation (intraspecific or interspecific) can be studied which could not be accomplished easily using morphological means or other robust molecular techniques.

DNA barcoding is used for species identification and it utilizes many plastid and nuclear regions. A total of the seven-plastid region were explored in land plants and the combination of rbcL+matK was considered as the best combination for plant barcode [21]. Some other scientific studies reported the successful use of a combination of matK+ITS and rbcL+trnH-psbA to study 100% differences between *Cassia* species [22]. However, the efficiency of only a single matK region to differentiate *Vachellia* species from other *Acacia* species was reported earlier with concluding remarks about the possibility of utilizing matK for separating taxa at the genus level [23].

Some previous reports have suggested successful amplification and



Figure 1: Amplification of the matK region. Lane L1: 100 bp ladder; lane 1-33: 33 tea clones.



Figure 2: UPGMA tree method showing the genetic relationship of matK region between 29 tea clones.

use of the matK region to investigate phylogeny in both monocots and dicots such as *Zingiberaceae* [11], *Erythronium* [24], *Myristica fragrans* [25], local tomato [26], and oil-bearing roses [27].

The barcode technique is also used nowadays to detect any kind of contaminants. Researchers have reported the presence of adulterant with counter indications for pregnant women in bamboo tea products and also detected the origin of bamboo leaves that were used in the product [28]. Researchers have also used DNA barcodes (rbcL, matK, ITS2, and psbA-trnH) to distinguish between the commercial non-*Camellia* tea and the adulterants present in it, to assess their safety, although a limited number of original plant sequences in GenBank limited the findings [29]. There are reports of matK locus placing two genera *Myristica* and *Knena* differently at a sequence similarity of

99.43% while genus *Virola* differed with 99.25% [25]. The tomatoes were placed within the same species even with 99.64% similarity, thus limiting the assumption of percentage identity required as 99.74–100% to place organisms within the same species [30]. Whereas our study has differentiated two species at percentage identity below 99.64% with clones such as 11125 (Thurbo 3) and 11126 (Thurbo 9) showing 99.61% and 99.29% identity with *Camellia sinensis* when compared, respectively, to 99.87% and 99.57% identity with *Camellia mairei*.

Two species *T. cope* and *T. wightii* [31] did not differ at rbcl locus but showed a difference in matK (2 nucleotide difference) and trnH-psbA (1 nucleotide difference). This could broaden the interspecific variation if the two loci are considered as two-gene approach and thus they reported interspecific variation (p-distance 0.002–0.003) but



Figure 3: Neighbor-joining tree method showing the genetic relationship of matK region between 29 tea clones along with sequence of *Camellia mairei* (KJ197933.1) taken from NCBI.

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	[1]#1111_AV2
[1]																														[2]#1112_P312
[2]	0.0018																													[3]#1113_HV39
[3]	0.0000	0.0018																												[4]#1114_T-253
[ [ 4]	0.0000	0.0018	0.0000																											[5]#1115_ND
l î si	0.0000	0.0018	0.0000	0.0000																										[6]#1116 MB-6
i 61	0.0000	0.0018	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000																									[7]#1117 TTV-1
i z i	0.0000	0.0018	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000																								[ 8] #1119 K1/1
18 1	0.0000	0.0018	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000																							191#11111 BS 7/1A/76
1 6 1	0.0000	0.0018	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000																						[10] #11112 B-777
1101	a aaaa	0 0018	a aaaa	0 0000	0 0000	a aaaa	a aaaa	0 0000	a aaaa																					[11]#11113_Sundaram
[11]	a aaaa	0.0010	0.0000	a aaaa																				[12] #11114 T-135						
[12]	0.0000	0.0010	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0 0000																			[13]#11116 B.688
[12]	0.0000	0.0010	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0 0000																		[14]#11117_Golconda
[14]	0.0000	0.0010	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0 0000																	[15]#11118_RR-17/144
[14]	0.0000	0.0010	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0010																[16] #11110 DS 0/2/76
[15]	0.0010	0.0050	0.0010	0.0010	0.0010	0.0010	0.0010	0.0010	0.0010	0.0010	0.0010	0.0010	0.0010	0.0010	0 0010															[17] #11120 CP 1
[10]	0.0000	0.0010	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0010															[17]#11120_CI-1
[1/]	0.0000	0.0010	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0010	0.0000														[10]#11122_P1404
[18]	0.0000	0.0018	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0018	0.0000	0.0000													[19]#11122_F1258
[19]	0.0000	0.0018	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0018	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000												[20]#11125_KK-4/5
[20]	0.0000	0.0018	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0018	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000											[21] #11124_SKM-1
[21]	0.0000	0.0018	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0018	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	9									[22] #11125_Thurbo-3
[22]	0.0054	0.0072	0.0054	0.0054	0.0054	0.0054	0.0054	0.0054	0.0054	0.0054	0.0054	0.0054	0.0054	0.0054	0.0072	0.0054	0.0054	0.0054	0.0054	0.0054	4 0.005	4								[23] #11126_1hurbo-9
[23]	0.0072	0.0090	0.0072	0.0072	0.0072	0.0072	0.0072	0.0072	0.0072	0.0072	0.0072	0.0072	0.0072	0.0072	0.0090	0.0072	0.0072	0.0072	0.0072	0.0072	2 0.007	2 0.0054	4							[24] #11127_T-145
[24]	0.0000	0.0018	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0018	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.000	0 0.0054	4 0.007	2						[25] #11128_T-246
[25]	0.0000	0.0018	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0018	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.000	0 0.0054	4 0.007	2 0.0000	)					[26] #11129_TV-19
[26]	0.0000	0.0018	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0018	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.000	0 0.0054	4 0.007	2 0.0000	0.0000	9				[27] #11130_TV-14
[27]	0.0000	0.0018	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0018	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.000	0 0.0054	4 0.007	2 0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	)			[28] #11131_T-78
[28]	0.0000	0.0018	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0018	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.000	0 0.0054	4 0.007	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	1		[29] #11132_B-157
[29]	0.0000	0.0018	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0018	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.000	0 0.0054	4 0.007	2 0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	•	

Figure 4: Genetic distances of the matK sequence calculated using nucleotide: maximum composite likelihood method.

	391												520
1111	TATAAACCAA	TTATCTAAGC	ATTCCCCAGA	CTTTCTGGGC	TATCTTTCAA	GTGTGCGACT	AAACCCTTCA	ATGGTACGGA	GTCAAATGCT	AGAAAATTCA	TTTCTAATAG	GTAATGCTAT	TAAGAGGTTC
1113	TATAAACCAA	TTATCTAAGC	ATTCCCCAGA	CTTTCTGGGC	TATCTTTCAA	GTGTGCGACT	AAACCCTTCA	ATGGTACGGA	GTCAAATGCT	AGAAAATTCA	TTTCTAATAG	GTAATGCTAT	TAAGAGGTTC
1114	TATAAACCAA	TTATCTAAGC	ATTCCCCAGA	CTTTCTGGGC	TATCTTTCAA	GTGTGCGACT	AAACCCTTCA	ATGGTACGGA	GTCAAATGCT	AGAAAATTCA	TTTCTAATAG	GTAATGCTAT	TAAGAGGTTC
11132	TATAAACCAA	TTATCTAAGC	ATTCCCCAGA	CTTTCTGGGC	TATCTTTCAA	GTGTGCGACT	AAACCCTTCA	ATGGTACGGA	GTCAAATGCT	AGAAAATTCA	TTTCTAATAG	GTAATGCTAT	TAAGAGGTTC
11131	TATAAACCAA	TTATCTAAGC	ATTCCCCAGA	CTTTCTGGGC	TATCTTTCAA	GTGTGCGACT	AAACCCTTCA	ATGGTACGGA	GTCAAATGCT	AGAAAATTCA	TTTCTAATAG	GTAATGCTAT	TAAGAGGTTC
11130	TATAAACCAA	TTATCTAAGC	ATTCCCCAGA	CTTTCTGGGC	TATCTTTCAA	GTGTGCGACT	AAACCCTTCA	ATGGTACGGA	GTCAAATGCT	AGAAAATTCA	TTTCTAATAG	GTAATGCTAT	TAAGAGGTTC
11129	TATAAACCAA	TTATCTAAGC	ATTCCCCAGA	CTTTCTGGGC	TATCTTTCAA	GTGTGCGACT	AAACCCTTCA	ATGGTACGGA	GTCAAATGCT	AGAAAATTCA	TTTCTAATAG	GTAATGCTAT	TAAGAGGTTC
11128	TATAAACCAA	TTATCTAAGC	ATTCCCCAGA	CTTTCTGGGC	TATCTTTCAA	GTGTGCGACT	AAACCCTTCA	ATGGTACGGA	GTCAAATGCT	AGAAAATTCA	TTTCTAATAG	GTAATGCTAT	TAAGAGGTTC
11127	TATAAACCAA	TTATCTAAGC	ATTCCCCAGA	CTTTCTGGGC	TATCTTTCAA	GTGTGCGACT	AAACCCTTCA	ATGGTACGGA	GTCAAATGCT	AGAAAATTCA	TTTCTAATAG	GTAATGCTAT	TAAGAGGTTC
1115	TATAAACCAA	TTATCTAAGC	ATTCCCCAGA	CTTTCTGGGC	TATCTTTCAA	GTGTGCGACT	AAACCCTTCA	ATGGTACGGA	GTCAAATGCT	AGAAAATTCA	TTTCTAATAG	GTAATGCTAT	TAAGAGGTTC
1116	TATAAACCAA	TTATCTAAGC	ATTCCCCAGA	CTTTCTGGGC	TATCTTTCAA	GTGTGCGACT	AAACCCTTCA	ATGGTACGGA	GTCAAATGCT	AGAAAATTCA	TTTCTAATAG	GTAATGCTAT	TAAGAGGTTC
11124	TATAAACCAA	TTATCTAAGC	ATTCCCCAGA	CTTTCTGGGC	TATCTTTCAA	GTGTGCGACT	AAACCCTTCA	ATGGTACGGA	GTCAAATGCT	AGAAAATTCA	TTTCTAATAG	GTAATGCTAT	TAAGAGGTTC
11123	TATAAACCAA	TTATCTAAGC	ATTCCCCAGA	CTTTCTGGGC	TATCTTTCAA	GTGTGCGACT	AAACCCTTCA	ATGGTACGGA	GTCAAATGCT	AGAAAATTCA	TTTCTAATAG	GTAATGCTAT	TAAGA <mark>G</mark> GTTC
11122	TATAAACCAA	TTATCTAAGC	ATTCCCCAGA	CTTTCTGGGC	TATCTTTCAA	GTGTGCGACT	AAACCCTTCA	ATGGTACGGA	GTCAAATGCT	AGAAAATTCA	TTTCTAATAG	GTAATGCTAT	TAAGA <mark>G</mark> GTTC
11121	TATAAACCAA	TTATCTAAGC	ATTCCCCAGA	CTTTCTGGGC	TATCTTTCAA	GTGTGCGACT	AAACCCTTCA	ATGGTACGGA	GTCAAATGCT	AGAAAATTCA	TTTCTAATAG	GTAATGCTAT	TAAGAGGTTC
11120	TATAAACCAA	TTATCTAAGC	ATTCCCCAGA	CTTTCTGGGC	TATCTTTCAA	GTGTGCGACT	AAACCCTTCA	ATGGTACGGA	GTCAAATGCT	AGAAAATTCA	TTTCTAATAG	GTAATGCTAT	TAAGA <mark>G</mark> GTTC
11119	TATAAACCAA	TTATCTAAGC	ATTCCCCAGA	CTTTCTGGGC	TATCTTTCAA	GTGTGCGACT	AAACCCTTCA	ATGGTACGGA	GTCAAATGCT	AGAAAATTCA	TTTCTAATAG	GTAATGCTAT	TAAGA <mark>G</mark> GTTC
1117	TATAAACCAA	TTATCTAAGC	ATTCCCCAGA	CTTTCTGGGC	TATCTTTCAA	GTGTGCGACT	AAACCCTTCA	ATGGTACGGA	GTCAAATGCT	AGAAAATTCA	TTTCTAATAG	GTAATGCTAT	TAAGA <mark>G</mark> GTTC
11117	TATAAACCAA	TTATCTAAGC	ATTCCCCAGA	CTTTCTGGGC	TATCTTTCAA	GTGTGCGACT	AAACCCTTCA	ATGGTACGGA	GTCAAATGCT	AGAAAATTCA	TTTCTAATAG	GTAATGCTAT	TAAGAGGTTC
11116	TATAAACCAA	TTATCTAAGC	ATTCCCCAGA	CTTTCTGGGC	TATCTTTCAA	GTGTGCGACT	AAACCCTTCA	ATGGTACGGA	GTCAAATGCT	AGAAAATTCA	TTTCTAATAG	GTAATGCTAT	TAAGAGGTTC
11114	TATAAACCAA	TTATCTAAGC	ATTCCCCAGA	CTTTCTGGGC	TATCTTTCAA	GTGTGCGACT	AAACCCTTCA	ATGGTACGGA	GTCAAATGCT	AGAAAATTCA	TTTCTAATAG	GTAATGCTAT	TAAGAGGTTC
11113	TATAAACCAA	TTATCTAAGC	ATTCCCCAGA	CTTTCTGGGC	TATCTTTCAA	GTGTGCGACT	AAACCCTTCA	ATGGTACGGA	GTCAAATGCT	AGAAAATTCA	TTTCTAATAG	GTAATGCTAT	TAAGAGGTTC
11112	TATAAACCAA	TTATCTAAGC	ATTCCCCAGA	CTTTCTGGGC	TATCTTTCAA	GTGTGCGACT	AAACCCTTCA	ATGGTACGGA	GTCAAATGCT	AGAAAATTCA	TTTCTAATAG	GTAATGCTAT	TAAGA <mark>G</mark> GTTC
11111	TATAAACCAA	TTATCTAAGC	ATTCCCCAGA	CTTTCTGGGC	TATCTTTCAA	GTGTGCGACT	AAACCCTTCA	ATGGTACGGA	GTCAAATGCT	AGAAAATTCA	TTTCTAATAG	GTAATGCTAT	TAAGAGGTTC
1119	TATAAACCAA	TTATCTAAGC	ATTCCCCAGA	CTTTCTGGGC	TATCTTTCAA	GTGTGCGACT	AAACCCTTCA	ATGGTACGGA	GTCAAATGCT	AGAAAATTCA	TTTCTAATAG	GTAATGCTAT	TAAGAGGTTC
11118	TATAAACCAA	TTATCTAAGC	ATTCCCCAGA	CTTTCTGGGC	TATCTTTCAA	GTGTGCGACT	AAACCCTTCA	ATGGTACGGA	GTCAAATGCT	AGAAAATTCA	TTTCTAATAG	GTAATGCTAT	TAAGAGGTTC
1112	TATAAACCAA	TTATCTAAGC	ATTCCCCAGA	CTTTCTGGGC	TATCTTTCAA	GTGTGCGACT	AAACCCTTCA	ATGGTACGGA	GTCAAATGCT	AGAAAATTCA	TTTTTAATAG	GTAATGCTAT	TAAGAGGTTC
11125	TATAAACCAA	TTATCTAAGC	ATTCCCCAGA	CTTTCTGGGC	TATCTTTCAA	GTGTGCGACT	AAACCCTTCA	ATGGTACGGA	GTCAAATGCT	AGAAAATTCA	TTTCTAATAG	GTAATGCTAT	TAAGAAGTTC
J197933.1	TATAAACCAA	TTATCTAAGC	ATTCCCCAGA	CTTTCTGGGC	TATCTTTCAA	GTGTGCGACT	AAACCCTTCA	ATGGTACGGA	GTCAAATGCT	AGAAAATTCA	TTTCTAATAG	GTAATGCTAT	TAAGAAGTTC
11126	TATAAACCAA	TTATCTAAGC	ATTCCCCAGA	CTTTCTGGGC	TATCTTTCAA	GTGTGCGACT	AAACCCTTCA	ATGGTGCGGA	GTCAAATGCT	AGAAAATTCA	TTTCTAATAG	GTAATGCTAT	TAAGAAGTTC
Consensus	TATAAACCAA	TTATCTAAGC	ATTCCCCAGA	CTTTCTGGGC	TATCTTTCAA	GTGTGCGACT	AAACCCTTCA	ATGGTaCGGA	GTCAAATGCT	AGAAAATTCA	TTTCTAATAG	GTAATGCTAT	TAAGAgGTTC

Figure 5: Consensus region of the aligned sequences showing nucleotide substitution as highlighted by black box.



Figure 6: matK sequences represented illustratively as barcode and QR code.

no intraspecific variation (p-distance 0.00). Another work reported having three variable sites in trnH-psbA sequences among seven tomato varieties with genetic distance ranging from 0 to 0.004 [26]. On the contrary, rbcL, rpoC1, and rpoB sequences did not show any variable sites, thus suggesting it to be 100% conserved within the species. The matK locus failed to differentiate Myristica at species level since the blast results showed 100% similarity with other three species of Myristica and also reported three nucleotide differences with Rivola sebifera and four nucleotide difference with Knema laurina, thus concluding the ability of matK locus to differentiate only at the genus level within the family of Myristicaceae. However, in our study, we report a total of nine variable sites in the high consensus region and a total of five variable sites in the low consensus region of matK sequences within the same species of Camellia sinensis. Therefore, we report intraspecific variation and conclude with a fact of matK sequence not being 100% conserved within the same species of Camellia.

## 5. CONCLUSION

This work reports the successful use of the matK region to explore the genetic diversity and variation within the matK gene of chloroplast region among the elite clones of Darjeeling and Dooars. The employment of the matK region with its known increased rate of substitution, low transition/transversion ratio, and quick evolving rate aided to study the intraspecific variation due to probable contamination from other tea plants. The evolution of the matK region within the same species of *Camellia sinensis* was evident from our results where we report variable sites within the consensus region and conclude with the fact of the matK gene not being 100% conserved among *Camellia sinensis*. The DNA barcode of elite tea clones of Darjeeling and Dooars was thus established, wherein we conclude with the remark of matK being a good candidate for DNA barcoding of *Camellia sinensis* as well as for rapid detection of variation and molecular evidence of clones at a minimal cost, thus avoiding other robust molecular techniques.

# 6. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Reha Labar is thankful to the University Grants Commission (UGC-RGNF-SC) for providing the fellowship. We also acknowledge the prompt service provided by the National Centre of Biotechnology Information and Bioserve Sequencing Services.

# 7. FUNDING

University Grants Commission (UGC-RGNF-SC).

# 8. AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

All authors made substantial contributions to conception and design, acquisition of data, or analysis and interpretation of data; took part in drafting the article or revising it critically for important intellectual content; agreed to submit to the current journal; gave final approval of the version to be published; and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

# 9. CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

## **10. ETHICAL APPROVALS**

This study does not involve the use of animals or human subjects.

#### REFERENCES

- Lee SC, Wang CH, Yen CE, Chang C. DNA barcode and identification of the varieties and provenances of Taiwan's domestic and imported made teas using ribosomal internal transcribed spacer 2 sequences. J Food Drug Anal 2017;25:260-74.
- Sharma RK, Bhardwaj P, Negi R, Mohapatra T, Ahuja PS. Identification, characterization and utilization of unigene derived microsatellite markers in tea (*Camellia sinensis* L.). BMC Plant Biol 2009;9:53.
- Baruah AR, Hemanta S, Biswajit B. Detection of close genetic relatedness in some tea genotypes of Assam and Darjeeling using RAPD markers. J Plant Crops 2010;38:11-5.
- Mishra RK, Sen-Mandi S. Genetic diversity estimates for Darjeeling tea clones based on amplified fragment length polymorphism markers. J Tea Sci 2004;24:86-92.
- Roy SC, Chakraborty BN. Genetic diversity and relationships among tea (*Camellia sinensis*) cultivars as revealed by RAPD and ISSR based fingerprinting. Indian J Biotechnol 2009;8:370-6.
- Kress WJ, Erickson DL. A two-locus global DNA barcode for land plants: The coding rbcL gene complements the non-coding trnHpsbA spacer region. PLoS One 2007;2:e508.
- Singh HK, Parveen I, Raghuvanshi S, Babbar SB. The loci recommended as universal barcodes for plants on the basis of floristic studies may not work with congeneric species as exemplified by DNA barcoding of *Dendrobium* species. BMC Res Notes 2012;5:42.
- Bafeel SO, Arif IA, Bakir MA, Khan HA, Al Farhan AH, Al Homaidan AA, *et al.* Comparative evaluation of PCR success with universal primers of maturase K (matK) and ribulose-1, 5-bisphosphate carboxylase oxygenase large subunit (rbcL) for barcoding of some arid plants. Plant Omics 2011;4:195.
- Kuzmina ML, Johnson KL, Barron HR, Hebert PD. Identification of the vascular plants of Churchill, Manitoba, using a DNA barcode library. BMC Ecol 2012;12:1-11.
- 10. Hilu KW, Liang G. The matK gene: Sequence variation and application in plant systematics. Am J Bot 1997;84:830-9.
- Selvaraj D, Sarma RK, Sathishkumar R. Phylogenetic analysis of chloroplast matK gene from *Zingiberaceae* for plant DNA barcoding. Bioinformation 2008;3:24.
- Barthet MM. Expression and Function of the Chloroplast-encoded Gene matK, Doctoral Dissertation. Virginia: Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University; 2006.
- 13. Doyle JJ, Doyle JL. A rapid DNA isolation procedure for small quantities of fresh leaf tissue. Phytochem Bull 1987;19:11–15
- 14. Saitou N, Nei M. The neighbor-joining method: A new method for reconstructing phylogenetic trees. Mol Biol Evol 1987;4:406-25.
- Sneath PH. Numerical Taxonomy: The Principles and Practice of Numerical Classification. United States: W.H. Freeman & Co Ltd.; 1973. p. 573.
- Kumar S, Stecher G, Li M, Knyaz C, Tamura K. MEGA X: Molecular evolutionary genetics analysis across computing platforms. Mol Biol Evol 2018;35:1547-9.
- Kimura M. A simple method for estimating evolutionary rates of base substitutions through comparative studies of nucleotide sequences. J Mol Evol 1980;16:111-20.
- Tamura K, Nei M, Kumar S. Prospects for inferring very large phylogenies by using the neighbor-joining method. Proc Natl Acad Sci 2004;101:11030-5.
- Corpet F. Multiple sequence alignment with hierarchical clustering. Nucleic Acids Res 1988;16:10881-90.
- More RP, Mane RC, Purohit HJ. MatK-QR classifier: Patterns based approach for plant species identification. BioData Mining 2016;9:39.
- Group CP, Hollingsworth PM, Forrest LL, Spouge JL, Hajibabaei M, Ratnasingham S, *et al.* A DNA barcode for land plants. Proc Natl Acad Sci 2009;106:12794-7.

- Purushothaman N, Newmaster SG, Ragupathy S, Stalin N, Suresh D, Arunraj DR, *et al.* A tiered barcode authentication tool to differentiate medicinal *Cassia* species in India. Genet Mol Res 2014;13:2959-68.
- Steven GN, Subramanyam R. Testing plant barcoding in a sister species complex of pantropical *Acacia (Mimosoideae, Fabaceae)*. Mol Ecol Resour 2009;9:172-80.
- Allen GA, Soltis DE, Soltis PS. Phylogeny and biogeography of *Erythronium (Liliaceae)* inferred from chloroplast matK and nuclear rDNA ITS sequences. Syst Bot 2003;28:512-23.
- Tallei TE, Kolondam BJ. DNA barcoding of Sangihe Nutmeg (*Myristica fragrans*) using matK gene. Hayati J Biosci 2015;22:41-7.
- Caprar M, Copaci CM, Chende DM, Sicora O, Sumalan R, Sicora C. Evaluation of genetic diversity by DNA barcoding of local tomato populations from North-Western Romania. Not Bot Horti Agrob Cluj Napoca 2017;45:276-9.
- Wang H, Yao L, Cai R, Pan J, Chen X. Genetic relationship analyses of oil-bearing roses in China using matK sequences. Sci Horticult 2012;137:121-4.

- Horn T, Haser A. Bamboo tea: Reduction of taxonomic complexity and application of DNA diagnostics based on rbcL and matK sequence data. PeerJ 2016;4:e2781.
- 29. Long P, Cui Z, Wang Y, Zhang C, Zhang N, Li M, *et al*. Commercialized non-*Camellia* tea: Traditional function and molecular identification. Acta Pharm Sin B 2014;4:227-37.
- 30. Lawodi EN. Variasi genetik tanaman tomat dari beberapa tempat di sulawesi utara berdasarkan gen matk. Pharmacon 2013;2:3098.
- Ragupathy S, Newmaster SG, Murugesan M, Balasubramaniam V. DNA barcoding discriminates a new cryptic grass species revealed in an ethno botany study by the hill tribes of the Western Ghats in Southern India. Mol Ecol Resour 2009;9:164-71.

#### How to cite this article:

Labar R, Kar P, Biswas P, Sen A, Bhattacharya M. Evolution of matK Gene among the Elite Tea Clones (*Camellia sinensis*) Revealed by Nucleotide Substitution within the Consensus Region. J App Biol Biotech. 2021;9(1):32-40. DOI: 10.7324/JABB.2021.9105