

Characterization of indole-3-acetic acid in cambial zone tissue by ultra-performance liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry

Renan Marcel Bonilha Dezena^{1*}, Renata Mauri², Fabio Alessandro Proença Barros³, Paulo César Pires Rosa⁴

¹Department of Pharmacology, Faculty of Medical Sciences, State University of Campinas, (UNICAMP), Brazil, ²Department of Forest Sciences, Federal University of Lavras, UFLA, Brazil, ³Department of Research and Innovation, Vita Nova Institute, Hortolândia - SP, Brazil, ⁴Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, State University of Campinas, Brazil

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received on: June 28, 2017 Accepted on: September 18, 2018 Available online: January 31, 2019

Key words: Ultra-performance liquid chromatography, Mass spectrometry, Indole-3-acetic acid (IAA), *Eucalyptus*, Hormone analysis.

ABSTRACT

The developmental plasticity of plants according to changes in their growth conditions is mediated by signaling molecules called hormones. The major classes of plant hormones are auxin, gibberellins, abscisic acid, and ethylene. Among these, the most important is indole-3-acetic acid (IAA). Quantification of IAA in an extract of approximately 10 mg obtained from the cambial zone of *Eucalyptus* leaves was performed by ultra-performance liquid chromatography coupled with electrospray ionization tandem mass spectrometry (UPLC/ESI-MS/MS). The leaves were extracted using acetone, and the extract was analyzed using a reverse-phase column (Acquity UPLC BEH C18, 2.1 mm × 100 mm, 1.7 μ m) at a flow rate of 0.2 mL/min with gradient elution of an aqueous mobile phase (containing 0.1% formic acid) with methanol. This gradient elution provided an excellent performance in terms of specificity/selectivity, linearity, precision, and ruggedness/stability. In addition, the run time was short (6 min), with excellent linearity (R² > 0.99) in the range of 10–70 ng/mL. The structure of IAA was elucidated using UPLC/ESI-MS/MS, operating and quantifying in multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) mode.

1. INTRODUCTION

The developmental plasticity of plants according to changes in growth conditions is mediated by signaling molecules called hormones. The major classes of plant hormones are auxin, gibberellins, abscisic acid, and ethylene [1-5]. Among these, the most important is indole-3-acetic acid (IAA). IAA is a key organizer of the growth and development of the vascular cambium [6-8]. In intact plants, polar IAA flow is essential for the initiation of spatially organized patterns of vascular tissues, as well as for the maintenance of vascular cambium. Furthermore, it has been shown that IAA affects many aspects of cambial secondary growth, including cell division, differentiation, cell enlargement, secondary wall thickness, and lignification [9]. In addition, exogenous treatments with IAA, alone or in combination, appear promising for promoting chemical changes in plants such as *Glycyrrhiza uralensis* [10].

The inability to develop a unifying concept for the role of IAA in the regulation of vascular tissue patterns is due to a limited knowledge

of both the mechanisms of perception and metabolism of IAA and its transport and final distribution [11]. Detailed knowledge of the transport and distribution of IAA would elucidate its role in signaling position, i.e., would verify its role in acting as a morphogen. Thus, it is necessary to develop accessible and relatively inexpensive techniques to detect IAA at extremely low levels in cambial zone tissues.

Since the identification of IAA as the main auxin in plants, there has been a continuing development of methods to measure its endogenous concentration in plant tissues. One can cite, for example, high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) with fluorescence detection [12-14] and immunological techniques [15,16]. However, when applied to plant extracts, which are a complex mixture of multiple components, these methods still require refinement to ensure that the detected response is accurate.

An attractive technique for the detection and quantification of IAA in extracts of approximately 10 mg mass obtained from the cambial zone of *Eucalyptus* is ultra-performance liquid chromatography coupled to electrospray ionization tandem mass spectrometry (UPLC/ESI-MS/MS). This technique, due to its high degree of information, can be used for quantitative analysis to determine analytes at trace levels in complex matrices. In addition, UPLC/ESI-MS/MS enables the elimination of spectral interference and minimizes the problem of coelution of substances found.

^{*}Corresponding Author:

Renan Marcel Bonilha Dezena,

State University of Campinas, Brazil.

E-mail: renan_marcel@hotmail.com

^{© 2019} Dezena, *et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License -NonCommercial-ShareAlike Unported License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/).

Given the above, our aim was to develop a methodology using UPLC/ ESI-MS/MS capable of quantifying the auxin hormone in small samples of cambial zone tissues through an extraction procedure consisting of a simple and inexpensive method using acetone.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Chemicals

The standard IAA phytohormone (purity = 99.9%) was obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (Steinheim, Germany). Methanol, formic acid, and acetone (HPLC grade) were used. Ultrapure water was used throughout the analysis, and filter paper (Whatman 12.5 cm, No. 542) and 0.22 μ m PTFE filter membranes were also utilized.

2.2. Preparation of Samples for Hormone Analysis

A wood block of about 3 cm \times 3 cm \times 3 cm, consisting of phloem and xylem tissue, was removed at breast height from hybrid clonal *Eucalyptus grandis* x *Eucalyptus urophylla* trees during September 2015 (growing season in the Southern Hemisphere). The block was immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen, transported on dry ice, and then stored at -75° C.

In the laboratory, the block was carved to dimensions of approximately 5 mm × 15 mm × 20 mm (width × height × depth) with the help of flexible diamond discs with a segmented face (Sorensen, Discoflex). Then, consecutive cryosections from xylem to phloem were obtained using a cryostat (HM 505 E microtome, Laborgeräte Microm, Walldorf, Germany) cooled to -19° C and adjusted to produce sections with a thickness of 30 µm.

2.3. Extraction Method

Cryosections obtained as described above were homogenized in an agate mortar with an agate pestle and posteriorly transferred to Eppendorf vials. Then, 2.0 mL of acetone was added. The vials containing the macerated sample and acetone were closed and subjected to ultrasound treatment for 50 min. Subsequently, the acetone was evaporated in a concentrator (SpeedVac, Thermo Fisher, Waltham, MA, USA) using centrifugation and vacuum at 45°C. Finally, the sample was resuspended by adding 2.0 mL of methanol: Milli-Q water (80:20, v: v) with 0.1% formic acid.

2.4. Preparation of Analytical Solutions

For the preparation of working solutions from stock solution, a diluent solution of methanol, Milli-Q water, and formic acid was prepared. The preparation of the diluent consisted of homogenization of 200 mL of Milli-Q water and 800 mL of methanol in a 1000 mL beaker with lid, followed by the addition of 1 mL of formic acid, homogenization, filtering through a PTFE membrane filter of 0.22 uM, and transferring the solution to a flask with lid.

The standard stock solution was prepared by weighing approximately 10.0 mg of IAA reference standard, transferring it to a 100 mL volumetric flask, adding 50.0 mL of the diluent, and keeping the solution in an ultrasonic bath until complete dissolution had occurred. The solution was then cooled to room temperature, and the flask volume was completed with diluent and homogenized, thus obtaining a solution with a concentration of 100 ug/mL.

The preparation of the linearity stock solution consisted of transferring a 0.1 mL aliquot of standard stock solution to a 10 mL volumetric flask, followed by the addition of diluent to complete the volume.

Thus, a linearity stock solution with a concentration of 1 μ g/mL was obtained. This solution was diluted, respectively, to obtain working solutions of seven different concentrations (10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60, and 70 ng/mL). Each working solution was analyzed by UPLC/ESI-MS/MS to construct calibration curves.

2.5. Equipment

The study was performed using a UPLC system (Acquity, Waters) coupled to an electrospray ionization tandem mass spectrometry system (UPLC/ESI-MS/MS). The spectrometer was a triple quadrupole (TQD, Waters). The operating conditions of the mass spectrometer, cone voltage, and collision were optimized in MRM mode.

The main parameters of the UPLC/ESI-MS/MS optimized for determining the test compound are described below.

2.6. Mobile Phases

Solution A was prepared by transferring 900 mL of Milli-Q water to a 1000 mL volumetric flask, adding 5 mL of formic acid, completing the volume of the volumetric flask with Milli-Q water, homogenizing, filtering with a 0.22 μ m PTFE membrane filter, transferring to a flask with lid, and finally degassing the solution.

Solution B was prepared by transferring 1000 mL of methanol to a 1000 mL volumetric flask, homogenizing, filtering with a 0.22 μm PTFE membrane filter, transferring to a flask with lid, and finally degassing the solution.

Table 1 shows the optimal gradient elution program for separating components in this study.

Table 2 shows UPLC/ESI-MS/MS conditions used in the study.

2.7. Mass Spectrometer Optimization for Sensitive IAA Detection

A standard IAA solution was introduced into the UPLC/ESI-MS/MS to obtain a mass spectrum in the quadrupole 1 (Q1) scan mode to detect the molecular-related ions of IAA.

2.7. Signal-to-noise Ratio (S/N)

The limit of detection (LOD) and limit of quantification (LOQ) of the method were based on the S/N ratio, and estimates of 3:1 and 10:1 for LOD and LOQ were accepted, respectively. The S/N was calculated by MassLynx software version 4.1 SCN805 of the UPLC (Acquity, Waters) coupled to mass spectrometer TQD (TQD, Waters) equipment.

2.8. Linearity

The linearity of the analytical method was determined by a calibration

| Time (min) | Flow (mL/min) | Solution A (%) | Solution B (%) |
|---------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Initial | 0.2 | 81.0 | 19.0 |
| 2.5 | 0.2 | 10.0 | 90.0 |
| 4.5 | 0.2 | 10.0 | 90.0 |
| 5.00 | 0.2 | 10.0 | 90.0 |
| 5.5 | 0.2 | 81.0 | 19.0 |
| 6.0 | 0.2 | 81.0 | 19.0 |

IAA: Indole-3-acetic acid

| Table 2: UPLC/ESI-MS/MS conditions used in the study | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| Ionization source parameters | | | | |
| Capillary (kV) | 5.00 | | | |
| Cone (V) | 25.0 | | | |
| Extractor (V) | 3.00 | | | |
| RF lens (V) | 0.1 | | | |
| Source temperature (°C) | 120 | | | |
| Desolvation temperature (°C) | 250 | | | |
| Desolvation gas flow (L/h) | 500 | | | |
| Cone gas flow (L/h) | 25 | | | |
| Analyzer parameters in MS/MS mode | | | | |
| LM 1 resolution | 10 | | | |
| HM 1 resolution | 10 | | | |
| Ion energy 1 | 0.5 | | | |
| Entrance | 1.0 | | | |
| Exit | 0.5 | | | |
| Collision | 30 | | | |
| LM 2 resolution | 10 | | | |
| HM 2 resolution | 10 | | | |
| Ion energy 2 | 0.5 | | | |
| Collision gas flow | 0.10 | | | |
| Desolvation gas | Nitrogen | | | |
| Collision gas | Argon | | | |
| UPLC | | | | |
| Column | Acquity UPLC BEH C18 2.1 mm×100 mm, 1.7 μm | | | |
| Column temperature (°C) | 40°C | | | |
| Sample temperature (°C) | 15 | | | |
| Flow (mL/min) | 0.2 | | | |
| Run time (min) | 6.0 | | | |

curve with seven different concentrations of the IAA compound (10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60, and 70 ng/mL).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Specificity/Selectivity

Specificity refers to the ability of an analytical method to assess unequivocally the analyte in the presence of sample matrix analyte. Figure 1 shows the chemical structure of IAA.

A study published in the literature using an electrospray ionization quadrupole time-of-flight mass spectrometer confirmed that IAA presents the molecular formula $C_{10}H_9NO_2$ with an accurate molecular weight (monoisotopic) of 175.0633 Da, and in the positive mode analysis, there was the formation of ion species [(M+H)⁺] with molecular formula $C_{10}H_{10}NO_2$ and measured mass (monoisotopic) of 176.0727 Da [17].

Therefore, when the analysis was performed in the full scan by electrospray ionization in positive mode (ESI⁺) using the TQD mass spectrometer equipment, it was possible to obtain a mass/charge ratio of 176 (IAA molecule with addition of a proton). The IAA standard spectrum is shown in Figure 2.



Figure 1: Structure formula of indole-3-acetic acid



Figure 2: Scan spectrum by electrospray ionization in positive mode (ESI⁺) of the IAA standard



Figure 3: Spectrum of fragmentation of the indole-3-acetic acid standard obtained by collision with argon gas

After the ionization was performed, fragmentation of the IAA standard was produced by collision of the analyte with argon gas. The most abundant ion fragment showed a mass/charge ratio (m/z) of 130, which is in accordance with a study stating that a product ion at m/z 130 with

a molecular formula of C_9H_8N and measured mass (monoisotopic) of 130.0674 Da could be observed in the MS/MS mode of IAA due to an electronic conjugation effect between the carbanion and the indole ring [(M+H-HCOOH)⁺] [17]. Figure 3 shows the the spectrum of fragmentation of the IAA standard obtained by collision with argon



Figure 4: Spectrum of the indole-3-acetic acid standard in multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) mode

gas and electrospray ionization in positive mode (ESI⁺) using the triple quadrupole mass spectrometer equipment.

For quantitative purposes, we used the multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) mode using the fragment ion m/z 130 of the precursor ion m/z 176 [18-21]. The MRM transitions for detection of the compound were chosen from experiment product ions in the mass spectrometer, selected for the channels of MRM ion greater abundance, as shown in Figure 4.

3.2. Signal/Noise

The LOD and LOQ with S/N ratios of 3:1 and 10:1 were considered. Under the experimental conditions employed, the LOD is the lowest amount of analyte that can be detected, and the LOQ is the lowest amount of analyte that can be quantified.

Figure 5 shows the S/N of hormone extracted from the cambial zone of *Eucalyptus* indicates the adequate sensitivity of the method.

3.3. Linearity

The linear model shown in Figure 6 indicates a high linearity of the method, showing a high linear correlation coefficient (R^2) of 0.9977. Table 3 shows the results of the linearity test.



Figure 5: Signal/noise of indole-3-acetic acid hormone extracted from the cambial zone of Eucalyptus in multiple reaction monitoring mode (176 > 130)



Figure 6: Equation of calibration curve and linear correlation coefficient



Figure 7: UPLC/ESI-MS/MS chromatograms from the cambial zone of Eucalyptus

3.4. Sample Quantification

Figure 7 shows that IAA was detected using the extraction methodology described above from the cambial zone of *Eucalyptus* at the m/z $176 \rightarrow 130$ transition in MRM positive mode.

The concentrations of IAA used are shown in Table 4.

3.5. Repeatability and Intermediate Precision

The repeatability and intermediate precision were evaluated, and the results are shown in Tables 5 and 6.

| Та | ble | 3: | Resu | lts of | the | linearity | test |
|----|-----|----|------|--------|-----|-----------|------|
|----|-----|----|------|--------|-----|-----------|------|

| Theoretical concentration (ng/mL) | Area | Obtained concentration (ng/mL) |
|-----------------------------------|------|-----------------------------------|
| 9.9900 | 104 | 10.3167 |
| | 101 | 10.0206 |
| | 104 | 10.3167 |
| Mean | 103 | 10.2180 |
| Coefficient of variation (%) | 1.68 | 1.67 |
| 19.9800 | 206 | 20.3842 |
| | 218 | 21.5686 |
| | 198 | 19.5946 |
| Mean | 207 | 20.5158 |
| Coefficient of variation (%) | 4.86 | 4.84 |
| 29.9700 | 308 | 30.4518 |
| | 307 | 30.3531 |
| | 301 | 29.7608 |
| Mean | 305 | 30.1886 |
| Coefficient of variation (%) | 1.24 | 1.24 |
| 39.9600 | 383 | 37.8544 |
| | 391 | 38.6440 |
| | 389 | 38.4466 |
| Mean | 388 | 38.3150 |
| Coefficient of variation (%) | 1.07 | 1.07 |
| 49.9500 | 517 | 51.0804 |
| | 505 | 49.8959 |
| | 485 | 47.9219 |
| Mean | 502 | 49.6327 |
| Coefficient of variation (%) | 3.22 | 3.21 |
| 59.9400 | 614 | 60.6544 |
| | 612 | 60.4570 |
| | 614 | 60.6544 |
| Mean | 613 | 60.5886 |
| Coefficient of variation (%) | 0.19 | 0.19 |
| 69.9300 | 707 | 69.8336 |
| | 709 | 70.0311 |
| | 718 | 70.9194 |
| Mean | 711 | 70.2614 |
| Coefficient of variation (%) | 0.82 | 0.82 |

Table 4: Quantification results obtained from the sample

| - | | * |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|-----------------------|
| Injection | Area | Concentration (ng/mL) |
| 1 | 424 | 41.9011 |
| 2 | 420 | 41.5063 |
| 3 | 425 | 41.9998 |
| Mean | 423 | 41.8024 |
| Coefficient of variation (%) | 0.63 | 0.62 |
| Mean Coefficient of variation (%) | 423 0.63 | 41.8024 0.62 |

3.6. Ruggedness/Stability

After 24 h, it was possible to verify the stability of the solution, as shown in Table 7.

Table 5: Results of the repeatability test

| Injection | Area | Concentration (ng/mL) |
|------------------------------|------|-----------------------|
| 1 | 410 | 40.5193 |
| 2 | 427 | 42.1972 |
| 3 | 396 | 39.1375 |
| 4 | 424 | 41.9011 |
| 5 | 415 | 41.0128 |
| 6 | 435 | 42.9868 |
| Mean | 418 | 41.2925 |
| Coefficient of variation (%) | 3.32 | 3.32 |

Table 6: Results of the intermediate precision test

| Injection | Area | Concentration (ng/mL) |
|------------------------------|------|-----------------------|
| 1 | 410 | 40.5193 |
| 2 | 427 | 42.1972 |
| 3 | 396 | 39.1375 |
| 4 | 424 | 41.9011 |
| 5 | 415 | 41.0128 |
| 6 | 435 | 42.9868 |
| 7 | 437 | 43.1842 |
| 8 | 436 | 43.0855 |
| 9 | 420 | 41.5063 |
| 10 | 433 | 42.7894 |
| 11 | 430 | 42.4933 |
| 12 | 426 | 42.0985 |
| Mean | 424 | 41.9094 |
| Coefficient of variation (%) | 2.88 | 2.88 |
| | | |

Table 7: Results of the ruggedness/stability test

| Injection | Area | Concentration (ng/mL) |
|------------------------------|------|-----------------------|
| 1 | 383 | 37.8544 |
| 2 | 391 | 38.6440 |
| 3 | 389 | 38.4466 |
| 4 | 352 | 34.7946 |
| 5 | 351 | 34.6959 |
| 6 | 357 | 35.2881 |
| Mean | 371 | 36.6206 |
| Coefficient of variation (%) | 5.15 | 5.15 |

4. CONCLUSION

Compared to methods applied previously, the method described in this study significantly simplifies the sample preparation and extraction of IAA from the cambial zone of *Eucalyptus*. The introduction of UPLC makes it possible to reduce the separation step of liquid chromatography, and it provides a challenging technique that will become important for applications in the analysis of endogenous plant hormones. The association of UPLC and electrospray ionization tandem MS (UPLC/ESI-MS/MS) allowed higher efficiency associated with high sensitivity, rapid analysis speed, excellent sample recovery, and the versatility of being able to analyze a sample without the step of performing derivatization. Therefore, the present method is simple,

fast, and accurate and should be especially useful for routine assays of IAA from the cambial zone of *Eucalyptus*.

5. REFERENCES

- Davies PJ. The plant hormones: Their nature, occurrence, and functions. In: Davies PJ, editor. Plant Hormones: Physiology, Biochemistry and Molecular Biology. 2nd ed. Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Kluwer Academic Publishers; 1995. p. 1-12.
- 2. McSteen P, Zhao Y. Plant hormones and signaling: Common themes and new developments. Dev Cell 2008;14:467-73.
- Wang YH, Irving HR. Developing a model of plant hormone interactions. Plant Signal Behav 2011;6:494-500.
- Rigal A, Ma Q, Robert S. Unraveling plant hormone signaling through the use of small molecules. Front Plant Sci 2014;5:1-20.
- Gray WM. Hormonal regulation of plant growth and development. PLoS Biol 2004;2:1270-3.
- Roberts LW. Hormonal aspects of vascular differentiation. In: Roberts LW, Gahan PB, Aloni R. editors. Vascular Differentiation and Plant Growth Regulators (Springer series in wood science). Estados Unidos: Springer-Verlag; 1988. p. 22-38.
- Tingwa PO, Young RE. The effect of indole-3-acetic acid and other growth regulators on the ripening of avocado fruits. Plant Physiol 1975;55:937-40.
- Li W, Xu L, Wu J, Ma L, Liu M, Jiao J, *et al.* Effects of indole-3-acetic acid (IAA), a plant hormone, on the ryegrass yield and the removal of fluoranthene from soil. Int J Phytoremediation 2015;17:422-8.
- Little CH, Pharis RP. Hormonal control of radial and longitudinal growth in the tree stem. Plant Stems: Physiology and Functional Morphology. In: Gartner BL, editor. San Diego: Academic Press; 1995. p. 281-319.
- Li YP, Yu CX, Qiao J, Zang YM, Xiang Y, Ren GX, et al. Effects of exogenous phytohormones treatment on glycyrrhizic acid accumulation and preliminary exploration of the chemical control network based on glycyrrhizic acid in root of *Glycyrrhiza uralensis*. Rev Bras Farmacognosia 2016;26:490-6.
- Uggla C, Moritz T, Sandberg G, Sundberg B. Auxin as a positional signal in pattern formation in plants. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 1996;93:9282-6.
- Crozier A, Loeferski K, Zaerr JB, Morris RO. Analysis of pictogram quantities of indole-3-acetic acid by high performance liquid chromatography-fluorescence procedures. Planta 1980;150:366-370.
- Szkop M, Bielawski W. A simple method for simultaneous RP-HPLC determination of indolic compounds related to bacterial biosynthesis of indole-3-acetic acid. Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek 2013;103:683-91.
- 14. Sweetser PB, Swartzfager DG. Indole-3-acetic acid levels of plant tissue as determined by a new high performance liquid chromatographic method. Plant Physiol 1978;61:254-8.
- Maldiney R, Leroux B, Sabbagh I, Sotta B, Sossountzov L, Migniac E. A biotin-avidin-based enzyme immunoassay to quantify three phytohormones: Auxin, abscisic acid and zeatin-riboside. J Immunol Methods 1986;90:151-8.
- Pencík A, Rolcík J, Novák O, Magnus V, Barták P, Buchtík R, *et al.* Isolation of novel indole-3-acetic acid conjugates by immunoaffinity extraction. Talanta 2009;80:651-5.
- Zhao H, Jiang M, Liang Q, Xie C, Song S, Wang J, et al. Fragmentation pathway studies of several plant hormones using an electrospray ionization-quadrupole/time-of-flight mass spectrometer. Int J Mass Spectrom 2013;335:7-15.
- Matsuda F, Miyazawa H, Wakasa K, Miyagawa H. Quantification of indole-3-acetic acid and amino acid conjugates in rice by liquid

chromatography-electrospray ionization-tandem mass spectrometry. Biosci Biotechnol Biochem 2005;69:778-83.

- Dollmann B, Richling E, Herderich M, Kohler H, Schwab A, Schmitt A, *et al.* High performance liquid chromatographyelectrospray ionization tandem mass spectrometry (HPLC-ESI-MS-MS) for the quantification of L-kynurenine and indole-3-acetic acid in grape must by isotope dilution assay. Vitis 1997;36:97-101.
- Östin A, Moritz T, Sandberg G. Liquid chromatography/mass spectrometry of conjugates and oxidative metabolites of indole-3acetic acid. Biol Mass Spectrom 1992;21:292-8.
- Shao J, Li S, Zhang N, Cui X, Zhou X, Zhang G, *et al.* Analysis and cloning of the synthetic pathway of the phytohormone indole-3acetic acid in the plant-beneficial bacillus amyloliquefaciens SQR9. Microb Cell Fact 2015;14:130.

How to cite this article:

Dezena RMB, Mauri R, Barros FAP, Rosa PCP. Characterization of indole-3-acetic acid in cambial zone tissue by ultra-performance liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry. J App Biol Biotech. 2019;7(02):64-70. DOI: 10.7324/JABB.2019.70212